

APPENDIX C
Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Forest: Eldorado National Forest	District: Amador	County: Eldorado.
----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

Observers: Steve G. Markeman, Hydrologist	Date: June 2, 2015 Time: 1330
---	----------------------------------

Number and/or name of road or trail:	10N13 Scheider Camp	UTM:	10 S 0756035 } parking 4290501 } area
Meadow or Aquatic Feature reference number:	10N13-11		

Current Conditions

Current weather: Clear and windy.

Has it rained or has snow melted recently? If so, describe event (estimated rainfall amount, duration of storm, etc.):

No. Meadows are roed clear of snow.

Wetness of meadow or aquatic feature _____ **Very wet** (Standing or flowing surface water present in all or a large portion of feature).
(Select the best answer at the time of the survey) ☒ **Moderately wet** (Surface water in part of feature and/or ground surface wet in most of feature).
☐ **Slightly wet** (No surface water. Ground surface wet in part of feature).
☐ **Mostly dry** (No surface water. Ground surface dry in most of feature).
☐ **Dry** (No surface water. Ground surface dry in entire feature).

Assessment of Corrective Action

What corrective actions were implemented? Select and fill out appropriate sections below.

☒ Rolling dip/waterbars ☐ Sediment basin/trap ☒ Gravel/rock reinforcement of parking area and road.

☐ Drivable ford ☐ Trail re-route ☐ other (describe in section below)

Rolling dip/waterbar		Comments:
Is water effectively routed off the trail? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		Road intercepts water from 2 sp
Are rills or gullies present? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		#1: Water flows down road for
If yes, for what length? <u>less than 70 ft.</u>		<100 ft. before being diverted
Are they introducing sediment to the aquatic feature? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		at road by waterbar.
Does the rolling dip/waterbar need maintenance or reconstruction? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		#2: Water diverted off of road
If yes, describe:		immediately by waterbar

Sediment basin	Comments
<p>Is the basin effective at catching all sediment? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>How full is basin with sediment? <input type="checkbox"/> empty <input type="checkbox"/> 25% <input type="checkbox"/> 50%</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 75% <input type="checkbox"/> 100%</p> <p>Does the basin need to be emptied? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Is additional work necessary for the sediment basin to function properly? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe:</p>	<p>Water flows in channel for ~ 100 ft. Flow of each spring < 0.01 cfs.</p>

Gravel/rock placement	Comments:
Has the gravel/rock remained in place? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Is there bare ground present where rock/gravel was placed? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, how much? <input type="checkbox"/> <10% <input type="checkbox"/> 25% <input type="checkbox"/> 50% <input type="checkbox"/> >75% Is additional gravel/rock necessary? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, describe:	Parking area is intact. No movement of rock out of parking area.

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Drivable ford <i>Not applicable.</i> At the time of the survey, is water present? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Has any part of the hardened approach failed? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, describe:</i> Is sediment being introduced from the approaches at the crossing? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, describe:</i>	Comments
Trail re-route <i>Not applicable.</i> Is there evidence of erosion or sedimentation on or originating from the new trail segment? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, answer the following questions.</i> What is the evidence of erosion or sedimentation? <input type="checkbox"/> rill erosion <input type="checkbox"/> gully erosion <input type="checkbox"/> sheet erosion <input type="checkbox"/> rutting <input type="checkbox"/> turbid water <input type="checkbox"/> sediment plume or accumulation <input type="checkbox"/> change in substrate composition <input type="checkbox"/> other (describe) What are the sources of erosion or sedimentation? Check all that apply: <input type="checkbox"/> trail surface <input type="checkbox"/> trail cut-slope <input type="checkbox"/> trail fill-slope <input type="checkbox"/> unhardened crossing approach <input type="checkbox"/> poor trail location <input type="checkbox"/> rolling dip, water bar, other drainage feature <input type="checkbox"/> inadequate soil cover <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient number of water control features <input type="checkbox"/> improper grade <input type="checkbox"/> improper construction of water control features <input type="checkbox"/> bare ground on trail <input type="checkbox"/> other (describe): Is the erosion or sedimentation impacting an aquatic feature? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, what type of aquatic feature?</i> <input type="checkbox"/> stream <input type="checkbox"/> meadow <input type="checkbox"/> wetland <input type="checkbox"/> spring/seep <input type="checkbox"/> fen <input type="checkbox"/> other aquatic feature (describe:) <i>Describe impacts to aquatic feature:</i> Describe any work necessary to fix erosion/sedimentation issues associated with the re-route:	Comments
Other corrective action (describe): <i>None.</i>	Comments
Has the corrective action resulted in negative impacts elsewhere? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, describe:</i>	

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Steve Markman

Is there evidence of motorized use off of the trail? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Is there evidence of chemical or fuel spills? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Criteria for Rating Standard & Guideline 100 of the SNFPA

Does the road or trail intercept and divert surface and/or subsurface water from the aquatic feature such that the aquatic feature has decreased in size and/or wetness? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.Has runoff from the road or trail eroded sediment into the aquatic feature such that the size and/or wetness of the aquatic feature has been reduced? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.Has runoff from the road or trail caused a stream channel to downcut such that the water table has dropped and decreased the size and/or wetness of an aquatic feature? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.

Comments:

- All repairs are working as intended as of June 2, 2015.
- Gate (that says "road closed") is on the ground next to the trail.

Findings with Respect to Standard & Guideline 100

☒ Yes. S&G #100 is being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.

M. S. De, Hydrologist

☐ No. S&G #100 is NOT being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.
Must check yes to one or more of the questions above.☐ I. Inconclusive. Field evidence not sufficient to determine if S&G 100 is being met.**S&G 100:** Maintain and restore the hydrologic connectivity of streams, meadows, wetlands, and other special aquatic features by identifying roads and trails that intercept, divert, or disrupt natural surface and subsurface water flow paths. Implement corrective actions where necessary to restore connectivity.